

<b>Name:</b> <b>Address:</b>		
<b>Feedback on Cookham Society response 2008</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>
<b>2. HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT</b>		
<i>2.1 In the Society's view any additional land required for new housing should be located at the principal towns of Windsor and Maidenhead.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>2.2 Within the Cookhams new housing should only be constructed on previously developed land. In Cookham Village and Cookham Rise this means land within existing built-up areas.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>2.3 We support the proposition there should be future provision for more sheltered housing.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>2.4 We believe there should be a greater emphasis on the provision of smaller accommodation, if necessary with its occupation restricted by planning conditions to ensure continuing availability for older people.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>2.5 We consider all residential planning applications should be supported by an illustrated Design and Access Statement, which should clearly demonstrate how proposed new buildings will integrate with their surroundings without significant loss of green space.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3. ENVIRONMENT AND LAND</b>		
<i>3.1 The Society will make representations to the Royal Borough to seek the restoration of the Green Belt in the Poundfield area to the full extent shown on the original version of the Adopted Local Plan of July 1999.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>3.2 Any proposal in the Plan must have regard to its impact on the villages' Conservation Areas.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>3.3 We consider the proposals in the Plan will have a deleterious effect on each of the three interests of acknowledged importance for Poundfield.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>3.4 It is therefore our suggestion that if an acceptable alternative cannot be secured within a reasonable period the present car park on the Moor should be enlarged up to the boundary with Marsh Meadow and should be substantially upgraded rather than to be replaced by a Car Park in Marsh Meadow</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>3.5 We are concerned about the proliferation of horse-related buildings, which have the effect of bringing development into what would otherwise be considered open countryside.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>3.6 We support the preparation of a Village Design Statement.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>4. TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</b>			
<b>4.1.1 The Society does not consider our roads in general are unacceptably congested</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>4.1.2 road traffic whose origins and destinations are not within the Cookhams should be actively discouraged from entering the Village</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>4.1.3 We believe re-opening Cookham Bridge to two-way traffic would have the detrimental effect of encouraging more traffic to use the Village.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b><u>4.2 One-way traffic around The Pound.</u></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>4.2.1 Based upon the information currently available to us, we object to this proposal. We would support a full-scale Traffic Assessment for the Cookhams, to include public transport, with the view to giving local people a more informed choice of alternative means of traffic amelioration.</b>			
<b><u>4.3. School traffic.</u></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>4.3.1 We have no objection in principle to the creation of new car parks at Holy Trinity and Cookham Rise schools, provided there are adequate safeguards to ensure they have no damaging</b>			

<i>environmental effects, but we believe they would only offer a partial solution. In our view a much more pro-active and integrated approach needs to be taken by the Local Education Authority, school governors and parents to ensure more children walk to school safely, cars are shared, school buses are provided, etc. The congestion is caused by the users of the schools and it is they who should be asked to alleviate it in the first instance.</i>		
<b>4.4 Safety.</b>		
<i>4.4.1 We support a general reduction in vehicle size to 7½ tonnes, but we feel this will be insufficient to remove large trucks and buses from this road.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>4.4.2 We do not support measures to remove on street parking.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>4.5 Public transport</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>4.5.1 Any Transport Assessment, as per our Observation in para. 4.2.1 above, should include the role to be played by public transport.</i>		
<b>5. BUSINESS AND THE ECONOMY</b>		
<b>5.1 Station Parade.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>We suggest the services of an expert in regeneration should be employed with the view to advising how best to resolve the interaction of cost, land ownerships and obligations, and value and to suggest a practical way forward.</i>		
<b>5.2 Tourism</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Support for Tourism needs to be improved; for example, through better public toilets and improved signage, but this needs to be carried out in a co-ordinated, discreet and sensitive manner.</i>		
<b>5.3 Networking Centre.</b>		
<i>We find the idea of a venue where people who work from home can meet each other and exchange ideas and support is an attractive proposal,</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>6. PEOPLE AND PLACES</b>		
<b><u>6.1 Healthcare.</u></b> <i>if expansion of the Medical Centre is necessary, this should take place on the current site or adjacent to it; an incursion into the Green Belt to enable the Centre to expand could well amount to 'exceptional circumstances' as envisaged by national Green Belt policy.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b><u>6.2 Crime and disorder.</u></b> <i>Crime impacts on the whole community. We are of the view there needs to be a much more positive 'strategy' for dealing with crime in the Cookhams.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b><u>6.3 Sport and recreation</u></b> <i>We are of the view the Plan has not enough to say about the needs of young people or the provision of youth activities and facilities.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>7. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES</b>		
<b><u>7.1 Flooding</u></b> <i>The Environment Agency should be pressed to afford the areas in South Cookham the same protection as the rest of the Village.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b><u>7.2 Raising the B4447 across the Moor</u></b> <i>A very comprehensive environmental assessment would need to be prepared before we could look favourably on this proposal.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*This form may be completed online on our web site at [www.cookhamsociety.org.uk](http://www.cookhamsociety.org.uk)  
Or you may mail it to David Ashwanden, Butts Legh, School Lane, Cookham, Berks SL6 9QJ*